AdvanceMe Inc v. RapidPay LLC

Case 6:05-cv-00424-LED Document 157 Filed 11/17/2006 Page 1 of 2

Doc. 157 Att. 15

EXHIBIT 0

TO DECLARATION OF MICHAEL N. EDELMAN IN SUPPORT OF ADVANCEME, INC.'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR LEAVE TO AMEND INVALIDITY CONTENTIONS

Paul Hastings ATTORNEYS

Paul, Hastings, Janofsky & Walker LLP Five Palo Alto Square • Sixth Floor • Palo Alto, CA 94306-2155 telephone 650 320 1800 • facsimile 650 320 1900 • www.paulhastings.com

Atlanta Beijing Brussels Hong Kong London Los Angeles Milan New York **Orange County** Palo Alto Paris San Diego San Francisco Shanghai Stamford Tokyo Washington, DC 650-320-1822 michaeledelman@paulhastings.com

October 30, 2006

Via E-mail

Joseph Gray Vinson & Elkins LLP 2801 Via Fortuna, Suite 100 Austin, Texas 78746-7568

Re: AdvanceMe, Inc. v. RapidPay LLC, et al. (No. 6:05-cv-00424) (E.D. Tex.);

AdvanceMe, Inc. v. AmeriMerchant, LLC (No. 6:06-CV-82)(E.D. Tex.).

Defendants' Second Request for Leave to Amend Their Preliminary Invalidity

Contentions

Dear Joey:

We were surprised to see your letter dated October 30, 2006 regarding the Defendants' Invalidity Contentions. In light of the Defendants' silence on this issue over the last several weeks, we had assumed that the Defendants were no longer seeking the proposed amendments.

In any event, AdvanceMe does not believe that these amendments are appropriate because, among other reasons, the "new" information contained therein was available to the Defendants many months ago (and long before the Defendants' initial Invalidity Contentions were served). Further, the Defendants' continual changes of positions regarding their invalidity defense is causing great prejudice to AdvanceMe. Accordingly, AdvanceMe respectfully cannot agree to stipulate to the Defendants' proposed amendments.

If you have any questions, please contact me at the number listed above.

Respectfully,

Michael N. Edelman

for PAUL, HASTINGS, JANOFSKY & WALKER LLP

LEGAL_US_W # 54697599.1